IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

JOHN HENRY MONEY, JR., #907324,	§	
	§	
Petitioner,	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-1721-L
	§	
NATHANIEL QUARTERMAN, Director,	§	
Texas Department of Criminal Justice ,	§	
Correctional Institutions Division ,	§	
	§	
Respondent.	§	

ORDER

Before the court are the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, filed November 13, 2007. Petitioner did not file objections.

This is a habeas petition brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The magistrate judge found that this petition is a second or successive petition for post-conviction relief. Section 2244(b)(3) specifically provides: "Before a second or successive application permitted by this section is filed in the district court, the applicant shall move in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application." The Fifth Circuit has held that the statutory provision "acts as a jurisdictional bar to the district court's asserting jurisdiction over any successive habeas petition until this court has granted the petitioner permission to file one." *United States v. Key*, 205 F.3d 773, 774 (5th Cir. 2000). Accordingly, the magistrate judge recommended that the court dismiss the petition for want of jurisdiction, without prejudice to Petitioner's right to file a motion for leave to file a successive petition with the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

Having reviewed the pleadings, file and record in this case, and the findings and conclusions of the magistrate judge, the court determines that the findings and conclusions are correct. The magistrate judge's findings and conclusions are therefore **accepted** as those of the court. The court is without jurisdiction to entertain this matter and therefore **dismisses without prejudice** Petitioner's habeas petition.

It is so ordered this 30th day of November, 2007.

Sam A. Lindsay

United States District Judge